IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 17-646

TERANCE MARTEZ GAMBLE, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES TO AMICI STATES' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE IN ORAL ARGUMENT AND FOR EXPANDED ARGUMENT

As the United States' brief illustrates, petitioner's challenge to this Court's longstanding interpretation of the Double Jeopardy Clause presents a question of great importance to the federal government. A full 30 minutes of argument time is necessary for the United States adequately to address the numerous aspects of the Double Jeopardy Clause's text, history, and application that petitioner would put at issue, as well as the serious practical consequences of his position. See Gov't Br. 6-55. The United States does not oppose an expansion of argument time to allow for argument by the amici States, whose law-

enforcement interests are also implicated by this case, and corresponding additional argument time for petitioner. The United States does, however, oppose any suggestion that its own argument time be reduced. Cf. Pet. Resp. 3.

Respectfully submitted.

JEFFREY B. WALL
Acting Solicitor General
Counsel of Record

NOVEMBER 2018